

## How to cite this article/Cómo citar este artículo:

Moreno Guillén S, Losa García JE, Berenguer Berenguer J, Marfínez Sesmero JM, Cenoz Gomis S, Graefehain R, et al. Cost-utility analysis of the fixed-dose combination of dolutegravir/abacavir/ lamivudine as initial treatment of HIV+ patients in Spain. Farm Hosp. 2017;41(5):601-610.



## ORIGINALES

# Cost-utility analysis of the fixed-dose combination of dolutegravir/abacavir/lamivudine as initial treatment of HIV+ patients in Spain

Análisis de coste-utilidad de la combinación a dosis fijas de dolutegravir/abacavir/lamivudina como tratamiento inicial de pacientes con VIH + en España

Santiago Moreno Guillén<sup>1</sup>, Juan Emilio Losa García<sup>2</sup>, Juan Berenguer Berenguer<sup>3</sup>, José Manuel Martínez Sesmero<sup>4</sup>, Santiago Cenoz Gomis<sup>5</sup>, Ruth Graefehain<sup>5</sup>, David López Sánchez-Cambronero<sup>5</sup>, Francisco Javier Parrondo García<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Servicio de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Hospital Ramón y Cajal, Madrid. <sup>2</sup>Sección Infecciosas, Departamento de Medicina Interna, Hospital Universitario Fundación Alcorcón, Madrid. <sup>3</sup>Servicio de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Hospital Gregorio Marañón, Madrid. <sup>4</sup>Departamento de Farmacia, Complejo Hospitalario de Toledo, Toledo. <sup>5</sup>ViiV Healthcare España, Madrid. <sup>4</sup>JParrondo-HEALTH, Coslada, Madrid. Spain.

# Abstract

**Objective:** Fixed-dose combinations of antiretroviral drugs have meant an important step forward in simplifying treatment and improving compliance and has led to an increased effectiveness of therapy, a viral load decrease and improving the quality of life of patients.

The single-table formulation of dolutegravir with abacavir and lamivudine (DTG/ABC/3TC) is a highly efficacious and well-tolerated once-daily regimen for HIV-infected patients. The objective of the study was to assess the incremental cost-utility ratio of the fixed-dose combination of (DTG/ ABC/3TC) versus the combinations emtricitabine/tenofovir/efavirenz (FTC/TDF/EFV), and darunavir/r (DRV/r) or raltegravir (RAL) with emtricitabine/tenofovir (FTC/TDF) or abacavir/lamivudine (ABC/3TC) as initial antiretroviral therapy in patients infected with HIV-1 from the perspective of the Spanish National Health System.

**Method:** The ARAMIS model, which uses a microsimulation approach to simulate the individual changes in each patient from the start of treatment to death through a Markov chain of descriptive health states of the disease, was adapted to Spain. The alternatives used for comparison were the fixed-dose combination of emtricitabine/tenofovir/efavirenz (FTC/TDF/ EFV), and the fixed-dose combinations of emtricitabine/tenofovir (FTC/

## Autor para correspondencia

Correo electrónico: javierparrondo@jparrondo.es (Francisco Javier Parrondo García)

Recibido el 17 de abril de 2017; aceptado el 2 de julio de 2017.

DOI: 10.7399/fh.10808

# Resumen

**Objetivo:** Las combinaciones a dosis fijas de medicamentos antirretrovirales han significado un importante paso adelante en la simplificación del tratamiento y la mejora del cumplimiento, así como hacia una mayor eficacia de la terapia, una disminución de la carga viral y una mejora de la calidad de vida de los pacientes.

La formulación de un comprimido único una vez al día con dosis fijas de dolutegravir, abacavir y lamivudina (DTG/ABC/3TC) para pacientes infectados con VIH es un régimen altamente eficaz y bien tolerado. El objetivo del estudio fue evaluar la relación coste-utilidad incremental de la combinación de dosis fija de (DTG/ABC/3TC) versus las combinaciones de emtricitabina/tenofovir/efavirenz (TDF/FTC/EFV) y darunavir/r (DRV/r) o raltegravir (RAL) con emtricitabina/tenofovir (FTC/TDF) o abacavir/lamivudina (ABC/3TC) como tratamiento antirretroviral inicial en pacientes infectados con VIH-1 desde la perspectiva del Sistema Nacional de Salud Español.

**Método:** Se adaptó en España el modelo ARAMIS. Este utiliza un enfoque de microsimulación para emular los cambios individuales en cada paciente desde el inicio del tratamiento hasta su muerte mediante una cadena de Markov de estados de salud descriptivos de la enfermedad. Las alternativas empleadas para la comparación fueron la combinación de dosis fijas de emtricitabina/tenofovir/efavirenz (TDF/FTC/EFV) y las combinaciones de dosis fijas de emtricitabina/tenofovir (FTC/TDF) o abacavir/lamivudina (ABC/3TC) con darunavir/r (DRV/r) o raltegravir (RAL). La

## **KEYWORDS**

HIV treatment; Computer simulation; Cost-Utility analysis.

**PALABRAS CLAVE** Tratamiento del VIH; Simulación por ordenador; Análisis coste-utilidad.



Los artículos publicados en esta revista se distribuyen con la licencia Artícles published in this journal are licensed with a Creative Commons Artítbution 4.0 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/ La revista Farmacia na cobra tasas por el envio de trabajos, ni tampaco por la publicación de sus artículos. TDF) or abacavir/lamivudine (ABC/3TC) with darunavir/r (DRV/r) or raltegravir (RAL). The probability of achieving virological suppression by the treatments included in the model was obtained from clinical trials SINGLE, SPRING-2 and FLAMINGO and the costs were expressed in  $\in$  (2015). The model use the perspective of the Spanish National Health System, with a lifetime horizon and a discount rate of 3% was applied to cost and effectiveness.

**Results:** Treatment initiation with DTG/ABC/3TC was dominant when it was compared with treatment initiation with all the comparators: vs. FTC/TDF/EFV (-67 210.71€/QALY), vs. DRV/r + FTC/TDF or ABC/3TC (-1 787 341.44€/QALY), and vs. RAL + FTC/TDF or ABC/3TC (-1 005 117.13€/QALY). All the sensitivity analyses performed showed the consistency of these findings.

**Conclusions:** With the premises considered, treatment initiation with DTG/ABC/3TC STR appears to be the most cost-effective option in ARTnaïve HIV infected patients from the Spanish Health System perspective.

## Introduction

The natural history of infection by human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is characterized by a progressive decrease of CD4+ cells and immune function, promoting the occurrence of infections and AIDS-defining malignancies. It is estimated that there are 36.7 million HIV-infected people worldwide and that 1.1 million deaths occur annually from the disease<sup>1</sup>. In Spain, it affects about 148,785 people<sup>2</sup> and its estimated annual mortality is approximately 845 deaths per year<sup>3</sup>.

Since the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) as a combination of three antiretroviral drugs, control and maintenance of the disease has been achieved in HIV-infected patients and morbidity and mortality have markedly decreased, converting HIV infection into a chronic disease<sup>4</sup>.

Although Spanish guidelines have been recommending an initial treatment including two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) combined with an integrase inhibitor (INI), a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI) or a protease inhibitor boosted with ritonavir (PI/r), currently only INI-based regimens are preferentially recommended<sup>5</sup>.

Fixed-dose combinations of antiretroviral drugs have meant an important step forward in simplifying treatment and improving compliance. Better compliance has led to a lower risk of treatment errors and decreased resistance selection, which results in an increased effectiveness of therapy with the consequent decrease in viral load and improvement in quality of life of patients<sup>6</sup>.

Dolutegravir (DTG) is an integrase inhibitor whose clinical development has shown good tolerability and safety, a high barrier to resistance and a lack of relevant drug interactions. The single-tablet formulation with abacavir and lamivudine (DTG/ABC/3TC) obtained European approval in September 2014 and has been marketed in Spain since May 2015.

To assist in the inclusion of the drug on the formulay, the study objective was to assess the incremental cost-utility ratio (ICUR) in €/QALY of the fixed-dose combination of (DTG/ABC/3TC) versus the combinations Emtricitabine/Tenofovir/Efavirenz (FTC/TDF/EFV), and darunavir/r (DRV/r) or Raltegravir (RAL) with Emtricitabine/Tenofovir (FTC/TDF) or Abacavir/Lamivudine (ABC/3TC) as initial antiretroviral therapy in patients infected with HIV-1 from the perspective of the Spanish National Health System.

## Methods

## Description of the model

The study consisted of adaptation to Spain of the Anti-Retroviral Analysis by Monte Carlo Individual Simulation (ARAMIS) model<sup>78</sup>, which uses a microsimulation approach to simulate the individual changes in each patient from the start of treatment to death through a chain of descriptive health states of the disease that are mutually exclusive (the patient can only be in one state). Thus, by including the behaviour of each individual generated with baseline characteristics of patients from clinical trials of DTG in naïve patients (SINGLE, FLAMINGO and SPRING-2 trials), the model is able to show the biological variability of the responses that may occur, which is an advantage over typical aggregate approaches such as the Markov models in which the aggregate variables only represent the behaviour of the population mean. probabilidad de lograr la supresión virológica mediante los tratamientos incluidos en el modelo se ha obtenido de ensayos clínicos individuales, SPRING2 y FLAMINGO, y los costes fueron expresados en € (2015). El uso del modelo de la perspectiva del Sistema Nacional de Salud español, con un horizonte de vida útil y una tasa de descuento del 3% se, aplicó a coste y efectividad.

**Resultados:** El inicio de tratamiento con DTG/ABC/3TC fue dominante cuando se comparó con el inicio del tratamiento con el resto de comparadores: frente a TDF/FTC/EFV (-67.210,710 € / AVAC) vs DRV/r FTC/TDF o ABC/3TC (-1,787,341.44 € / AVAC) y vs RAL FTC/TDF o ABC/3TC (-1,005,117.13 € / AVAC). Todos los análisis de sensibilidad realizados demostraron la consistencia de estos hallazgos.

**Conclusiones:** Con las premisas consideradas, el inicio del tratamiento con la combinación a dosis fijas de DTG/ABC/3TC parece ser la opción más rentable para el tratamiento de pacientes infectados con el VIH desde la perspectiva del Sistema Nacional de Salud español.

The alternatives used for comparison were the fixed-dose combination of Emtricitabine/Tenofovir/Efavirenz (FTC/TDF/EFV), and the fixed-dose combinations of Emtricitabine/Tenofovir (FTC/TDF) or Abacavir/Lamivudine (ABC/3TC) with Darunavir/r (DRV/r) or Raltegravir (RAL). Thus was obtained the comparing of DTG/ABC/3TC versus standard treatments with NRTIs, INI and a PI/r as a third agent.

As shown in figure 1, the model defined the following health states: HIV infection without chronic disease as first-line treatment, HIV infection without chronic disease as second-line or subsequent treatment, HIV infection for chronic disease non-related to AIDS (second-line or subsequent treatment), opportunistic disease (viral, bacterial, fungal, protozoan or other), adverse event to the treatment and death (absorbing state).

Each month throughout the simulation and depending on the treatment received, the changes in patient characteristics are determined and if these changes cause the individual to remain in the initial state or change to a new one. These changes are determined by the probability of disease progression, occurrence of opportunistic infections and/or adverse effects, and occurrence of long-term chronic diseases and death. All these probabilities are determined by the CD4+ count the patient has at the start of each cycle.

Thus, depending on the treatment received, the individual will show a given probability of achieving viral suppression (defined as viral load suppression below 50 copies/mL at 48 weeks). This leads to an increase in the CD4+ count that is more marked in the first two months of treatment but which is maintained if viral suppression persists, reaching a maximum values of 1,200 cells/µL. If virological suppression is not achieved with treatment initially, or if it is lost subsequently, the individual moves on the next line of treatment and so on. Once treatment options have been exhausted, the individual experiences a decrease in the CD4+ count as described in previously published models<sup>9</sup>.

The model includes nine categories of adverse events that individuals may experience during treatment (diarrhoea, nausea, dizziness, vomiting, rash, sleep disturbances, insomnia, depression and other). The probability of experiencing these events in grade 2 or higher and of discontinuing treatment due to the events was obtained from their clinical trials.

The occurrence of opportunistic infections was modelled as a probability dependent on the CD4+ count as described in the literature<sup>7</sup>.

Cardiovascular disease was modelled as a monthly risk determined by a Framingham equation<sup>10</sup> for prediction of coronary heart disease and stroke.

In addition to mortalities due to opportunistic infections and cardiovascular diseases, all-cause mortality and HIV mortality were modelled based on Spanish data from interactive consultation of the National Health System Statistical Portal<sup>11</sup>.

Thus, at the end of each cycle, a specific effectiveness is obtained and the corresponding allocation of costs generated in the period. These are cumulative throughout the life of each simulated individual and finally allow for obtaining the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) of DTG/ABC/3TC versus other treatment options using the formula:

## ICER = [(Cost Triumeq - Cost alternative) / (Effectiveness Triumeq -Effectiveness alternative)]

The model was developed in Microsoft Excel 2007 and Microsoft Visual Basic for Applications as embedded code. The results shown corres-



Figure 1. Patient flow

pond to 1 million simulated individuals, from the perspective of the Spanish national health system. The base case was performed for a time horizon of the patient's whole lifetime and applying a discount rate of 3%.

Model parameters

### **Study population**

Initially patients were described with demographic variables (age, sex), disease defining parameters (plasma viral load, CD4+ cell count), and covariates used for measuring cardiovascular risk in the Framingham equation (systolic pressure, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein [HDL] cholesterol, history of smoking, diabetes and left ventricular hypertrophy).

Table 1 shows a summary of the baseline characteristics used in the model. These were obtained from patients participating in the SINGLE, SPRING-2 and FLAMINGO clinical trials of DTG in treatment-naive patients.

#### **Treatment algorithms**

As shown in figure 2, an expert panel determined the four treatment algorithms, one for each initial treatment. The choice of treatment in the

## Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the patients included in the model

Characteristic	SINGLE (n = 833)	SPRING-2 (n = 822)	FLAMINGO (n = 484)	Cohort model (n=2.139)
Age (mean)	36.4	37.0	36.0	36.5
Sex – women (%)	15.6	14.5	14.9	15.0
HIV-1 RNA (log <sub>10</sub> copies/mL) (mean)	4.7	4.6	4.50	4.59
CD4 + count (cells/µL) (mean)	350	377	412	374
Total cholesterol (mmol/L) (mean)	4.12	4.16	4.13	4.13
HDL (mmol/L) (mean)	1.12	1.14	1.13	1.13
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) (mean)	122.71	123.08	123.32	122.99
Diabetes (%)	4.9	3.5	2.7	3.88
History of smoking (%)	52.8	58.6	60.3	56.76
Left ventricle hypertrophy (%)	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.28

 Farmacia Hospitalaria 2017

 604
 I ∨ol. 41
 N° 5
 601
 610
 ...



Figure 2a. Treatment algorithms



Figure 2b. Treatment algorithms



Figure 2c. Treatment algorithms



Figure 2d. Treatment algorithms

second and subsequent lines was made attempting to prevent problems of tolerability and resistance that could compromise efficacy. The model took into account resistance to NRTIs, NNRTIs, and INI.

#### **Estimation of effectiveness**

The probability of achieving virological suppression by the treatments included in the model was obtained from clinical trials comparing DTG/ABC/3TC versus the other alternatives, SINGLE trial for FTC/TDF/EFV<sup>12,13</sup>, SPRING-2 trial<sup>14,15</sup> for RAL + (FTC/TDF) or + (ABC/3TC) and FLAMINGO trial<sup>16,17</sup> for DRV/r + (FTC/TDF) or + (ABC/3TC). Based on their clinical practice, the expert panel considered that the proportions for applying FTC/TDF and ABC/3TC in these two alternatives are 80 and 20, respectively. Since there are no direct trials of DTG/ABC/3TC versus Rilpivirine/Emricitabine/Tenofovir (RPV/FTC/TDF) and Emtricitabine/Tenofovir/ Elvitegravir/Cobicistat (FTC/TDF/EVG/cob), the results used for comparison of these regimens were obtained by a network meta-analysis<sup>18</sup>. The evidence used for showing the long-term efficacy of all treatments was the START/MRK trial<sup>19,20</sup> and assumptions validated by the expert panel.

The efficacy of treatment alternatives was measured as life years gained (LYG) and quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) by applying the corresponding utilities associated with CD4+ level, having an opportunistic infection and its sequelae, having an adverse event and those associated with chronic diseases not related to AIDS. These utilities shown in table 2 were obtained from the literature<sup>7,21-24</sup>.

While quality of life stratified by CD4 was calculated in each cycle in which the patient was in the health state, in the case of opportunistic infections, it was calculated in three cycles, assuming that this opportunistic infection had a duration of three months. The effect of adverse events was

#### Table 2. Utilities used in the model

ltem	Utility Value	Source		
CD4+level	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
>500	0.946			
350-500	0.933			
200-350	0.931	Simmer 200 (127)		
100-200	0.853	Simpson 2004		
50-100	0.853			
0-50	0.781			
Opportunistic infection				
Bacterial	0.561			
Fungal	0.652			
Protozoan	0.561	Paltiel 1998(26)		
Viral	0.652			
Other	0.561			
Chronic disease not related	to AIDS			
Cardiovascular disease	0.902	Brown 2010 <sup>(25)</sup>		
Adverse events (disutilities)				
AE grade≥2	- 0.012			
Diarrhoea	- 0.009			
Nausea	- 0.008			
Vomiting	- 0.005			
Rash	- 0.01	El.:		
Sleep disturbances	- 0.019	Elvira Martinez 2003		
Dizziness/Vertigo	- 0.033			
Depression	- 0.054			
Insomnia	0			
Other AE	- 0.012			

applied in the cycle in which they occurred, except for cases of depression, whose disutility was imputed over a period of three cycles (3 months).

#### **Resources and costs**

As the model uses the perspective of the Spanish National Health System, only direct health care costs expressed in  $\in$  (2015) were considered.

Thus, standard patient care costs were considered such as those derived from medical visits, diagnostic tests, management of adverse events, care of chronic diseases and drug costs of antiretroviral therapy and prophylaxis and treatment of opportunistic infections.

Table 3 shows the costs attributable to each event and health state from the official rates and were calculated from the clinical trial protocols and stratified by CD4+ levels<sup>22</sup>. The costs of diagnostic tests come from the rates of the Instituto de Salud Carlos III. The costs derived from treatment of adverse events for Spain were obtained from the literature<sup>25</sup> and the costs derived from the prophylaxis and treatment of opportunistic infections from the BotPlus-Portsalfarma database 2.0 of the General Council of Offi-

#### TABLE 3. Monthly costs

Item	Cost (€ 2015)	Source				
Routine patient care according to CD4 + level						
> 500	132.91					
350-500	196.39					
201-350	723.77	D 0010(25)				
101-200	990.45	Brown 2010 <sup>(23)</sup>				
51-100	990.45					
0-50	2,550.89					
Treatment of opportunistic in	fection					
Bacterial	2,557					
Fungal	287					
Protozoan	1,905	Elvira Martínez 2005 <sup>(23)</sup>				
Viral	3,512					
Other	7,067					
Prophylaxis of opportunistic	infection					
CMV	1.07					
PCP	197.11	GESIDA 2006				
Visit cost						
Outpatient clinic	72.60	Mean autonomous com- munity rates (*)				
Diagnostic tests						
Tropism (Maraviroc)	106.00					
Genotype	93.00	ISCarlocIII rates (*)				
CD4	40.00					
Viral load	50.00					
HLA-B5701 (ABC/3TC)	18.95	Hospital San Cecilio Granada 2012 (*)				
Treatment of adverse events						
Diarrhoea	37.61					
Nausea	9.10					
Vomiting	26.24	Brown 2007(28)				
Rash	0.00					
Sleep disturbances	0.00					
Other	334.86					

(\*) Costs updated to 2015. CMV, cytomegalovirus; PCP, pneumonia by Pneumocystis jiroveci; ABC, abacavir; 3TC, lamivudine. cial Pharmacists Associations (https://botplusweb.portalfarma.com)<sup>21,26</sup>. The drug acquisition costs of the different antiretroviral therapies by the National Health System were obtained from the SESCAM<sup>27</sup>.

## Sensitivity analysis

In order to assess the consistency of the model and the effect on its results of the relative efficacy of DTG/ABC/3TC versus its alternatives, the time horizon and discount rate applied, as recommended by the proposed Spanish guidelines for economic evaluation<sup>28</sup>, the following sensitivity analyses were performed:

Model using a time horizon of 5 and 10 years.

Application of higher relative and lower efficacy using the maximum and minimum values of the confidence intervals of clinical trials<sup>12-17</sup>.

Model without application of a discount rate and using a rate of 5%.

## Results

## Base case

Of all the initial treatment regimens studied, DTG/ABC/3TC was the dominant combination by achieving greater effectiveness at a lower cost (Table 4). The incremental cost-effectiveness plane is shown in figure 3. Table 5 shows the duration and average monthly cost of each strategy evaluated.

## Sensitivity analysis

Table 6 presents the results of the comparisons used in sensitivity analyses. In these comparisons, DTG/ABC/3TC was the dominant combination (representing a lower cost and greater effectiveness) in all cases versus FTC/TDF/EFV.

Identical behaviour was shown in the comparison with RAL + (FTC/TDF) or + (ABC/3TC), except in cases of without discounting in which DTG/ABC/3TC represented a saving of 24,415.86  $\in$  for a lower effectiveness of 0.0396 QALYs, and when the lower limit of efficacy was considered for DTG/ABC/3TC, a saving of 19,454.97  $\in$  for a lower effectiveness of 0.0511 QALYs. In both cases, the strategy was found to be cost-effective.

Similar results occurred with DRV/r + (FTC/TDF) or + (ABC/3TC), which were shown to be cost-effective in the non-discounted analyses (saving of 9,646.16 € and lower efficacy of 0.036 QALYs) and in the lower limit of

 Table 4. Cost-effectiveness results of comparisons analyzed

Comparison DTG/ABC/3TC vs. EFV/FTC/TDF				
Therapy	Effectiveness (QALYs)	Cost (€)		
DTG/ABC/3TC	18.0026	237,363.44		
EFV/FTC/TDF	17.6986	257,795.31		
ICER (€/QALY)	-67,210.71			

Comparison DTG/ABC/3TC vs. RAL+(FTC/TDF) or+(ABC/3TC

Therapy	Effectiveness (QALYs)	Cost (€)
DTG/ABC/3TC	18.0058	234,470.45
RAL+(FTC/TDF) or+(ABC/3TC	17.9846	255,754.37
ICER (€/QALY)	-1,005,117.13	

#### Comparison DTG/ABC/3TC vs. DRV/r+(FTC/TDF) or+(ABC/3TC)

Therapy	Effectiveness (QALYs)	Cost (€)
DTG/ABC/3TC	18.0269	235,376.30
DRV/r+(FTC/TDF) or+(ABC/3TC)	18.0205	246,767.62
ICER (€/QALY)	-1,787,341.44	

QALYs, Quality-Adjusted Life Year; ICER, Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio.

efficacy of DTG/ABC/3TC (saving of 8,698.67  $\in$  and lower efficacy of 0.049 QALYs). In the rest of the cases, DTG/ABC/3TC was shown to be the dominant alternative.

## Discussion

Since the introduction of HAART, control and maintenance of HIV infection has been achieved, decreasing its morbidity and reducing costs associated with its medical care. However, the increased survival produced causes costs of treatment and patient care to occur over a much longer time



**FIGURE 3.** Incremental cost-effectiveness plane.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Table 5. Duration and	d average monthl	y cost per	treatment line
---------------------------------------	-----------------------	------------------	------------	----------------

		DTG/ABC/3TC	EFV/FTC/TDF	RAL+ (FTC/TDF) or (ABC/3TC	DRV/r+ (FTC/TDF) or (ABC/3TC
	Months	126	105	124	122
IL	Cost	595.38€	586.19€	754.75€	718.28€
21	Months	93	69	92	99
ZL	Cost	458.52€	590.71€	542.53€	530.70€
21	Months	39	54	54	55
3L	Cost	541.39€	623.50€	466.71€	457.24€
4L	Months	12	25	13	11
	Cost	928.35€	937.06€	913.29€	903.08€
5L	Months	36	74	39	30
	Cost	810.23€	888.25€	828.07€	807.14€
61	Months	77	45	61	67
OL	Cost	726.18€	743.24€	715.41€	711.34€

L, treatment line.

period, normally causing overall costs to become larger than other treatment alternatives and making it necessary to evaluate its cost-effectiveness.

The ARAMIS model used for this adaptation has been verified and previously used in several studies<sup>78</sup>. This is the first research that uses microsimulation to assess the cost-utility of a VIH fixed dose combination in Spain. The stochastic approach used in this study enabled us to show the biological variability and to determine that the fixed-dose combination DTG/ABC/3TC is the most efficient alternative among the initial treatment regimens evaluated. It was to be the dominant strategy in the comparisons considered as the base case and in 14 of 18 sensitivity analyses, and was cost-effective in the remaining four.

This greater efficiency is determined by the savings derived from starting treatment with DTG/ABC/3TC and because this alternative was the most efficient in all cases, except in the sensitivity analyses without discounting

## Table 6. Results of sensitivity analyses

Sensitivity analysis	Increases				
	Cost (€)	QALYs			
Comparison DTG/ABC/3TC vs. EFV/FT	C/TDF				
Horizon 5 years	-2,248.61	0.009224	-243,786.29	Dominant	
Horizon 10 years	-6,886.16	0.015022	-458,403.99	Dominant	
Maximum efficacy threshold	-21,677.90	0.332017	-65,291.52	Dominant	
Minimum efficacy threshold	-18,038.80	0.230343	-78,312.71	Dominant	
Discount 0%	-27,023.63	0.939241	-28,771.77	Dominant	
Discount 5%	-16,323.15	0.15629	-104,441.31	Dominant	
Comparison DTG/ABC/3TC vs. RAL+(F	TC/TDF) or + (ABC/3TC)				
Horizon 5 years	-10,291.40	0.000985	-10,450,123.22	Dominant	
Horizon 10 years	-16,824.92	0.004571	-3,680,909.56	Dominant	
Maximum efficacy threshold	-22,928.71	0.02417	-948,625.45	Dominant	
Minimum efficacy threshold	-19,454.97	-0.04917	395,668.21	Cost-effective	
Discount 0%	-24,415.86	-0.03634	671,837.48	Cost-effective	
Discount 5%	-19,266.14	0.018724	-1,028,979.77	Dominant	
Comparison DTG/ABC/3TC vs. DRV/r+(FTC/TDF) or+(ABC/3TC)					
Horizon 5 years	-7,474.85	0.004915	-1,520,681.62	Dominant	
Horizon 10 years	-11,731.11	0.005504	-2,131,418.50	Dominant	
Maximum efficacy threshold	-12,979.35	0.072724	-178,473.05	Dominant	
Minimum efficacy threshold	-8,698.67	-0.04312	201,716.49	Cost-effective	
Discount 0%	-9,646.16	-0.03124	308,794.36	Cost-effective	
Discount 5%	-11,248.35	0.008914	-1,261,845.60	Dominant	

and using the lower efficacy level of the trials with dolutegravir (DTG) when compared to the alternatives RAL and DRV. In these cases, the lower efficacy of the alternative to starting with DTG/ABC/3TC was shown to be marginal with values between -0.04 and 0.03 QALYs, which over the lifetime of the patient are equivalent to a lifetime benefit of between 10 days and two weeks. This marginality of the unprovided benefit causes the savings produced to make the alternative appear cost-effective with respect to the compared alternatives.

This effectiveness is consistent with the proposed treatment algorithms since all alternatives are favoured by having treatments including DTG in subsequent lines. Thus, the effectiveness shown in the first lines of treatments is consistent with the efficacy results of the comparative clinical trials of the treatments evaluated<sup>12-17</sup>. And this dominance is consistent with the results of previous research compararing DTG with ABC/3TC or FTC/TDF in Canada<sup>29</sup>.

As shown in Table 5, this efficiency of the first lines of the alternative to starting with DTG/ABC/3TC is boosted by a lower mean monthly cost of treatment than the other compared strategies. Furthermore, it can be seen how other alternatives are benefited by the use of DTG/ABC/3TC in the second lines of treatment.

Although the model has limitations due to the lack of data on the efficacy of subsequent treatment lines and the costs derived from visits in actual clinical practice, these have been treated conservatively. Thus,

## Bibliography

- 1. UNAIDS. Global HIV Statistic. Fact sheet november 2016 [cited 25 May 2017] [Available from: http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media\_asset/ UNAIDS\_FactSheet\_en.pdf]
- WHO\_RegionalOfficeEurope. Key facts on HIV epidemic in Spain and progress in 2011. Revision 2012. 2013 [cited 25 May 2017] [Available from: http://www. euro.who.int/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/0004/191092/Spain-HIVAIDS-Country-Profile-2011-revision-2012-final.pdf?ua=1]
- DGSPCI. Informe de evaluación plan multisectorial de VIHSIDA 2008 2012–Julio 2013 2013 [cited 25 May 2017] [Available from: https://www.msssi.gob.es/ ciudadanos/enfLesiones/enfTransmisibles/sida/docs/informeEvaluacion30Agosto2013.pdf]
- Yoshimura K. Current status of HIV/AIDS in the ART era. J. Infect Chemother. 2017;23:12-6.
- GESIDA. Documento de consenso de GESIDA/Plan Nacional sobre el Sida respecto al tratamiento antirretroviral en adultos con infección por el virus de la inmunodeficiencia humana (Actualización enero 2015) 2015. [cited 25 May 2017] [Available from: http://gesida-seimc.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/gesidaguiasclinicas-2015-tar.pdf]
- Ramjan R, Calmy A, Vitoria M, Mills EJ, Hill A, Cooke G, Ford N. Systematic review and meta-analysis: Patient and programme impact of fixed-dose combination antiretroviral therapy. Trop Med Int Health. 2014 May;19(5):501-13.
- 7. Despiegel N, Anger D, Martin M, Monga N, Cui Q, Rocchi A, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of Dolutegravir in HIV-1 Treatment-Naive and Treatment-Experienced Patients in Canada. Infect Dis Ther. 2015;4(3):337-53.
- Pialoux G, Marcelin AG, Despiegel N, Espinas C, Cawston H, Finkielsztejn L, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of Dolutegravir in HIV-1 Treatment-Experienced (TE) Patients in France. PloS one. 2015;10(12):e0145885. [cited 20 Dec 2016] [Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145885]
- Mauskopf J, Brogan AJ, Talbird SE, Martin S. Cost-effectiveness of combination therapy with etravirine in treatment-experienced adults with HIV-1 infection. AIDS (London, England). 2012;26(3):355-64.
- Cooper A NL, Calvert N, et al. Clinical guidelines and evidence review for lipid [cited 20 Dec 2016] [Avalaible from: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg67/ documents/lipid-modification-full-guideline2]
- Portal estadístico del Sistema Nacional de Salud [cited 25 May 2017] [Available from: https://www.msssi.gob.es/estadEstudios/portada/home.htm]
- Walmsley SL, Antela A, Clumeck N, Duiculescu D, Eberhard A, Gutierrez F, *et al.* Dolutegravir plus abacavir-lamivudine for the treatment of HIV-1 infection. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(19):1807-18.
- Walmsley S, Baumgarten A, Berenguer J, Felizarta F, Florence E, Khuong-Josses MA, et al. Brief Report: Dolutegravir Plus Abacavir/Lamivudine for the Treatment of HIV-1 Infection in Antiretroviral Therapy-Naive Patients: Week 96 and Week 144 Results From the SINGLE Randomized Clinical Trial. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2015;70(5):515-9.

the high efficacy applied to treatments after the first failure will mean that patients continue with high CD4+ levels. Hence, the benefit of postponing the change of initial treatment shown in the alternative to starting with DTG/ABC/3TC is masked by the effect of subsequent lines. With regard to the case to imputing only protocol visits, this is also a consequence of a conservative approach because it does not consider unexpected visits occurring in actual clinical practice as a result of adverse effects and interactions, regardless of whether or not it involves discontinuation. It is considered that this assumption could penalize the strategy of starting with DTG/ABC/3TC since it safety profile, tolerability and interactions suggests that this treatment generates a lower number of these visits, which leads to underestimating the costs attributable to the other compared strategies. Finally, another limitation has been that the study has not taken into account the subsequent introduction of the generic ABC/3TC

Cost-utility analyses of these alternatives based on real World data of their clinical effectiveness and associated resource consumption will be recommended in the future.

Since the results obtained with this model are favourable to the strategy of starting with DTG/ABC/3TC, it may be concluded that, this is the most efficient option of the alternatives evaluated for the Spanish National Health System. This result could assist informed decision-making about inclusion of the fixed-dose combination DTG/ABC/3TC into the hospital formularies.

- Raffi F, Jaeger H, Quiros-Roldan E, Albrecht H, Belonosova E, Gatell JM, et al. Once-daily dolutegravir versus twice-daily raltegravir in antiretroviral-naive adults with HIV-1 infection (SPRING-2 study): 96 week results from a randomised, doubleblind, non-inferiority trial. Lancet Infect Dis. 2013;13(11):927-35.
- Raffi F, Rachlis A, Stellbrink HJ, Hardy WD, Torti C, Orkin C, et al. Once-daily dolutegravir versus raltegravir in antiretroviral-naive adults with HIV-1 infection: 48 week results from the randomised, double-blind, non-inferiority SPRING-2 study. Lancet (London, England). 2013;381(9868):735-43.
- Clotet B, Feinberg J, van Lunzen J, Khuong-Josses MA, Antinori A, Dumitru I, et al. Once-daily dolutegravir versus darunavir plus ritonavir in antiretroviral-naive adults with HIV-1 infection (FLAMINGO): 48 week results from the randomised open-label phase 3b study. Lancet (London, England). 2014;383(9936):2222-31.
- 17. Molina JM, Clotet B, van Lunzen J, Lazzarin A, Cavassini M, Henry K, et al. Once-daily dolutegravir versus darunavir plus ritonavir for treatment-naive adults with HIV-1 infection (FLAMINGO): 96 week results from a randomised, openlabel, phase 3b study. The lancet HIV. 2015;2(4):e127-36. [cited 20 Dec 2016] [Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3018(15)00027-2]
- Patel DA, Snedecor SJ, Tang WY, Sudharshan L, Lim JW, Cuffe R, et al. 48-week efficacy and safety of dolutegravir relative to commonly used third agents in treatment-naive HIV-1-infected patients: a systematic review and network metaanalysis. PloS one. 2014;9(9):e105653. [cited 20 Dec 2016] [Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105653]
- DeJesus E, Rockstroh JK, Lennox JL, Saag MS, Lazzarin A, Zhao J, et al. Efficacy of raltegravir versus efavirenz when combined with tenofovir/emtricitabine in treatment-naive HIV-1-infected patients: week-192 overall and subgroup analyses from STARTMRK. HIV clinical trials. 2012;13(4):228-32.
- Rockstroh JK, DeJesus E, Lennox JL, Yazdanpanah Y, Saag MS, Wan H, et al. Durable efficacy and safety of raltegravir versus efavirenz when combined with tenofovir/emtricitabine in treatment-naive HIV-1-infected patients: final 5-year results from STARTMRK. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2013;63(1):77-85.
- Elvira Martínez D, Badía Llach X. Análisis coste-efectividad de enfuvirtida más terapia optimizada frente a terapia optimizada en pacientes infectados de VIH-1 / Sida resistentes a las terapias antirretrovirales. Rev Esp Econ Salud. 2005;4(3):116-23.
- 22. Moreno S, Gonzalez J, Lekander I, Marti B, Oyaguez I, Sanchez-de la Rosa R, et al. Cost-effectiveness of optimized background therapy plus maraviroc for previously treated patients with R5 HIV-1 infection from the perspective of the Spanish health care system. Clin Ther. 2010;32(13):2232-45.
- Paltiel AD, Scharfstein JA, Seage GR, Losina E, Goldie SJ, Weinstein MC, et al. A Monte Carlo simulation of advanced HIV disease: application to prevention of CMV infection. Med Decis Making. 1998;18(2 Suppl):S93-105.
- Simpson KN, Luo MP, Chumney E, Sun E, Brun S, Ashraf T. Cost-effectiveness of lopinavir/ritonavir versus nelfinavir as the first-line highly active antiretroviral therapy regimen for HIV infection. HIV Clin Trials. 2004;5(5):294-304.

	Farmacia	Hospitalaria 2017	
610	Vol. 41	N° 5   601 - 610	

- 25. Moreno S, Casado M, Domingo P, Llibre J, Martinez E, Miralles C, *et al*. Analisis de minimización de costes de diferentes combinaciones de inhibidores de la transcriptasa inversa análogos de nucleosidos asociados a acontecimientos adversos en pacientes infectados por el VIH-1. Pharmacoeconomics Spanish Research Articles. 2007;4(1):12.
- 26. GESIDA. Tratamiento de las infecciones oportunistas en pacientes adultos y adolescentes infectados por el virus de la inmunodeficiencia humana en la era del tratamiento antirretroviral de gran actividad. Recomendaciones del Grupo de Estudio del Sida (GESIDA)/Plan Nacional sobre el Sida. Enfermedades infecciosas y microbiologia clinica. 2008;26(6):356-79.
- SESCAM. Informe: Ruta eficiencia terapia antirretroviral. Actualización 2014. Servicio de Salud de Castilla La Mancha (SESCAM). 2014 [cited 25 May 2017] [Available from: http://sescam.castillalamancha.es/sites/sescam.castillalamancha. es/files/documentos/farmacia/tar\_2014.pdf.]
- Lopez Bastida J, Oliva J, Antonanzas F, Garcia-Altes A, Gisbert R, Mar J, *et al.* Propuesta de guia para la evaluacion economica aplicada a las tecnologias sanitarias. Gaceta sanitaria / SESPAS. 2010;24(2):154-70.
- Despiegel N, Anger D, Martin M, Monga N, Cui Q, Rocchi A, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of Dolutegravir in HIV-1 Treatment-Naive and Treatment-Experienced Patients in Canada. Infect Dis Ther. 2015;(4):337-53.